

Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency
in cooperation with
Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC)
University North
Faculty of Management University of Warsaw
Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat



Economic and Social Development

37th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development –
"Socio Economic Problems of Sustainable Development"

Book of Proceedings

Editors:

Muslim Ibrahimov, Ana Aleksic, Darko Dukic



ISSN 1849-7535



9 771849 753006 >

Baku, 14-15 February 2019

Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency
in cooperation with
Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC)
University North
Faculty of Management University of Warsaw
Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat

Editors:
Muslim Ibrahimov, Ana Aleksic, Darko Dukic

Economic and Social Development
37th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development –
"Socio Economic Problems of Sustainable Development"

Book of Proceedings

Baku, 14-15 February 2019

Title ■ Economic and Social Development (Book of Proceedings), 37th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development – "Socio Economic Problems of Sustainable Development"

Editors ■ Muslim Ibrahimov, Ana Aleksic, Darko Dukic

Scientific Committee / Programski Odbor ■ Marijan Cingula, University of Zagreb, Croatia (President); Adalat Muradov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan (Co-President); Mahish Ahmadov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Ali Alirzayev, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Umudvar Aliyev, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Sandra Raquel Alves - University of Aveiro, Portugal; Ayuba A. Aminu, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria; Anona Armstrong, Victoria University, Australia; Gouri Sankar Bandyopadhyay, The University of Burdwan, Rajbati Bardhaman, India; Haimanti Banerji, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India; Elisabeth de Jesus Oliveira Brito - University of Aveiro, Portugal; Alla Bobyleva, The Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia; Leonid K. Bobrov, State University of Economics and Management, Novosibirsk, Russia; Rado Bohinc, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia; Zeki Atil Bulut, Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey; Adnan Celik, Selcuk University - Konya, Turkey; Angelo Maia Cister, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; Mirela Cristea, University of Craiova, Romania; Sreten Cuzovic, University of Nis, Serbia; Ogun Demir, Istanbul Commerce University, Turkey; T.S. Devaraja, University of Mysore, India; Onur Dogan, Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey; Darko Dukic, University of Osijek, Croatia; Gordana Dukic, University of Osijek, Croatia; Claudia Miranda Veloso - University of Aveiro, Portugal; Alba Dumi, Vloara University, Vlore, Albania; Ksenija Dumcic, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Galina Pavlovna Gagarinskaya, Samara State University, Russia; Fran Galetic, Zagreb University, Croatia; Mirjana Gligoric, Faculty of Economics, Belgrade University, Serbia; Mehmet Emre Gorgulu, Afyon Kocatepe University, Turkey; Aleksandra Grobelna, Gdynia Maritime University, Poland; Liudmila Guzikova, Peter the Great Saint-Petersburg Polytechnic University, Russia; Yadulla Hasanli, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Anica Hunjet, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Muslim Ibrahimov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Altay Ismayilov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Oxana Ivanova, Ulyanovsk State University, Ulyanovsk, Russia; Irena Jankovic, Faculty of Economics, Belgrade University, Serbia; Lara Jelenc, University of Rijeka, Croatia; Myrl Jones, Radford University, USA; Gorazd Justinek, Graduate School of Government and European Studies, Slovenia; Hacer Simay Karaalp, Pamukkale University, Turkey; Grzegorz Karasiewicz, University of Warsaw, Poland; Irshad Karimli, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Dafna Kariv, The College of Management Academic Studies, Rishon Le Zion, Israel; Salih Katircioglu, Eastern Mediterranean University, Northern Cyprus, Turkey; Hilal Yildirim Keser, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey; Martina Dragija Kostic; Sophia Khalimova, Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science, Novosibirsk, Russia; Marina Klacmer Calopa, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Vladimir Kovsca, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Goran Kozina, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Dzenan Kulovic, University of Zenica, Bosnia and Herzegovina; Robert Lewis, Les Roches Gruyère University of Applied Sciences, Bulle, Switzerland; Ladislav Lukas, Univ. of West Bohemia, Faculty of Economics, Czech Republic; Zahid Mammadov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Gabil Manafov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Pascal Marty, University of La Rochelle, France; Vaidotas Matutis, Vilnius University, Lithuania; Marjana Merkač Skok, GEA College of Entrepreneurship, Ljubljana, Slovenia; Daniel Francois Meyer, North West University, South Africa; Marin Milkovic, Rector, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Zlatko Nedelko, University of Maribor, Slovenia; Gratiela Georgiana Noja, West University of Timisoara, Romania; Zsuzsanna Novak, Corvinus University of Budapest, Hungary; Alojzy Z. Nowak, University of Warsaw, Poland; Tomasz Ochowski, University of Warsaw, Poland; Mislav Ante Omazic, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Vera Palea, Università degli Studi di Torino, Italy; Dusko Pavlovic, Libertas International University, Zagreb, Croatia; Igor Pihir – University of Zagreb, Croatia; Dinko Primorac, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Zeljka Primorac, University of Split, Croatia; Anar Rzayev, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Mirosław Przygoda, University of Warsaw, Poland; Karlis Purmalis, University of Latvia, Latvia; Nicholas Recker, Metropolitan State University of Denver, USA; Kerry Redican, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, USA; Humberto Ribeiro, University of Aveiro, Portugal; Robert Rybnicek, University of Graz, Austria; Kamil Shahbazov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Joanna Stawska, University of Lodz, Poland; Elzbieta Szymanska, Bialystok University of Technology, Poland; Katarzyna Szymanska, The State Higher School of Vocational Education in Ciechanow, Poland; Jan Turyna, University of Warsaw, Poland; Ilaria Tutore, University of Naples Parthenope, Italy; Rebeka Danijela Vlahov, University of Zagreb; Ilko Vrankic, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Stanislaw Walukiewicz, Bialystok University of Technology, Poland; Thomas Will, Agnes Scott College, USA; Sakit Yagubov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Li Yongqiang, Victoria University, Australia; Peter Zabielskis, University of Macau, China; Tao Zeng, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Canada; Grzegorz Zimon, Rzeszow University of Technology, Poland; Snezana Zivkovic, University of Nis, Serbia.

Review Committee / Recenzentski Odbor ■ Marina Klacmer Calopa (President); Ana Aleksic; Sandra Raquel Alves; Ayuba Aminu; Mihovil Andjelinovic; Josip Americ; Lidija Bagaric; Tomislav Bakovic; Sanja Blazevic; Leonid Bobrov; Ruzica Brecic; Anita Ceh Casni; Iryna Chernysh; Mirela Cristea; Ogun Demir; Jasmina Dvorski; Stjepan Dvorski; Robert Fabac; Ivica Filipovic; Sinisa Franjic; Fran Galetic; Mirjana Gligoric; Tomislav Globan; Anita Goltnik Umaut; Tomislav Herceg; Muslim Ibrahimov; Irena Jankovic; Emina Jerkovic; Dafna Kariv; Oliver Kesar; Hilal Yildirim Keser; Tatjana Kovac; Vladimir Kovsca; Angelo Maia Cister; Katarina Marosevic; Vaidotas Matutis; Marjana Merkač Skok; Josip Mikulic; Ljubica Milanovic Glavan; Daniel Francois Meyer; Natanya Meyer; Guenter Mueller; Ivana Nacinovic Braje; Zlatko Nedelko; Gratiela Georgiana Noja; Zsuzsanna Novak; Alka Obadic; Claudia Ocrean; Igor Pihir; Najla Podrug; Vojko Potocan; Dinko Primorac; Zeljka Primorac; Sanda Renko; Humberto Ribeiro; Vlasta Roska; Souhaila Said; Armando Javier Sanchez Diaz; Tomislav Sekur; Lorena Skufflic; Mirko Smoljic; Petar Soric; Mario Spremic; Matjaz Stor; Tomasz Studzieniecki; Lejla Tijanic; Daniel Tomic; Robert Tusek; Rebeka Daniela Vlahov; Ilko Vrankic; Thomas Will; Zoran Wittine; Tao Zeng; Grzegorz Zimon; Snezana Zivkovic; Berislav Zmuk.

Organizing Committee / Organizacijski Odbor ■ Adalat Muradov (President); Domagoj Cingula (Co-President); Sannur Aliyev; Shafa Alizade; Marina Klacmer Calopa; Asiman Guliyev; Ilham Huseynov; Altay Ismayilov; Irshad Karimli; Spomenko Kesina; Erlino Koscak; Zahid Mammadov; Mirosław Przygoda; Anar Rzayev; Michael Stefulj; Rebeka Danijela Vlahov; Sime Vucetic; Mikayil Zeynalov; Sakit Yagubov.

Publishing Editor ■ Domagoj Cingula

Publisher ■ Design ■ Print ■ Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency, Varazdin, Croatia / Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Baku, Azerbaijan / University North, Koprivnica, Croatia / Faculty of Management University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland / Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco

Printing ■ Online Edition

ISSN 1849-7535

The Book is open access and double-blind peer reviewed.

Our past Books are indexed and abstracted by ProQuest, EconBIZ, CPCI (WoS) and EconLit databases and available for download in a PDF format from the Economic and Social Development Conference website: <http://www.esd-conference.com>

© 2019 Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency, Varazdin, Croatia; Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Baku, Azerbaijan; University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Faculty of Management University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco. All rights reserved. Authors are responsible for the linguistic and technical accuracy of their contributions. Authors keep their copyrights for further publishing.

CRISES IN THE GLOBAL FINANCIAL SYSTEM: ANTI-CRISIS POLICY - THE STAGES OF REGULATION	499
Mirelem Hesenli	
THE DEVELOPMENT OF MANAGEMENT INNOVATION THROUGH THE USE OF LEAN-TECHNOLOGY	505
Mustafayeva Zohra Ismayil, Gurbanov Natiq Huseyn, Elena Druzhnikova, Yana Mochalova, Irina Chistnikova	
BANKING REGULATION AND THE FISCAL POLICY IN THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: WORLD AND NATIONAL EXPERIENCE	512
Ashurbayli-Huseynova Nigar Pirverdi, Qubadova Aybeniz Anver, Qushkhani Rauf Nasir, Mammadova Gulsum Mirdamat	
THE STATE OF APPLICATION OF ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS IN HIGHER EDUCATION IN AZERBAIJAN	528
Zohra Hasanova, Arzu Huseynova, Ophelya Mazanova	
CONCEPTUAL BASIS OF ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN NON-OIL SECTOR	532
Gabil Manafov	
UNEMPLOYMENT AND SOME ISSUES OF USE OF HUMAN CAPITAL.....	539
Rashad Muradov	
THE EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT WAYS OF ECONOMIC STABILITY OF ENTERPRISES	547
Nazaraliyev Sadiq Binyamin Oqlu	
ECONOMIC VALUE OF CO-PAYMENTS IN HEALTHCARE.....	552
Sevda K. Mamedova	
OPTIMIZATION OF QUALITY MANAGEMENT OF CARGO TRANSPORTATION	559
Sevinc M. Abdullayeva	
PLANNING AND FORECASTING OF QUALITY MANAGEMENT	566
Seydaliyev Ilham Mohammed	
MANAGEABILITY OF FINANCIAL RISKS AS AN IMPORTANT FACTOR OF FINANCIAL STABILITY	572
Shafa Guliyeva	
FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENTS IN OIL SECTOR AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN AZERBAIJAN	577
Shahin Bayramov, Mayis Gulaliyev	

OPTIMIZATION OF QUALITY MANAGEMENT OF CARGO TRANSPORTATION

Sevinc M. Abdullayeva

Azerbaijan State University of Economics – UNEC, Azerbaijan
sevinc120483@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The method of calculation of basic parameters of cargo transportation has been analyzed. An optimal model of quality management has been developed. An equation of full economic costs has been formed for the logistics chain. The possibilities of carrying out optimal management of cargo transportation have been studied. It was determined that optimization parameters such as the capacity of the warehouse, the transport capacity of the vehicle, the efficiency of lifting vehicles can be taken. The main parameter of the logistics chain is justification for the minimization of the cost of economic integration costs. The issue of improving the production structure of transport enterprises has been solved to optimize quality management. It has been shown that the range of technical means that provide freight traffic is dynamic interacting and has a certain hierarchical structure. The system approach has confirmed that the system has an invariant structure. It has been established that optimization of the logistics chain must first be achieved by optimizing its structure, ie proportional development of its elements, rational union, and perfect harmonization of key parameters. It has been established that the multidimensionality and diversity of indicators and parameters characterizing the work of technical facilities make it difficult to select effective methods and tools for their calculation. It has been shown that the methodological basis of the optimization of the quality of transportations can be generated by the use of production power, which is an integral indicator of the potential for load capacity over a single period of time.

Keywords: *economic cost, logistics, management, quality, transportation*

1. INTRODUCTION

Resulting from the socio-economic needs of modern society organic integration of transport with production and consumption has led to the conversion of transport into a indivisible unit of the integrated "production-transport-distribution" system {1}. In the open market and the decentralized management of production, transportation and distribution of goods, this system consists of a set of horizontally interconnected objects {2}. From this point of view, the object of optimization is a combination of all technical means involved in the delivery process of cargoes and realizing the objectives of logistics on the basis of relevant technological processes and operations. Investigate the simplest logistics chain. Let's propose that the gravel is delivered from the production site to the consumer point. The commodity producer is Khanbulakchay gravel plant, the consumer of the product (cargo) Akkord OJSC. The amount of gravel ordered to be delivered within 100 days is 5,000 tons. First of all, characterize the requirements for safe delivery, protection and storage of material flow and cargo. The crushed stone belongs to bulk cargoes, transported by open vehicles and stored in open warehouses. There is no need for additional measures to exclude damage of cargo during its loading to vessels, wagons and cars. We suppose the hourly productivity is 70t per hour for loading and 58t per hour for unloading. Let's characterize the technology of gravel transportation and the route of movement from the production site to the consumer site. Let's assume that the gravel plant has a railroad on a freight yard. The first operation here is the loading of the crushed stone from the consumer's open warehouse to wagons with the help of a conveyor belt. Let's say that the railcars full of gravel are transported by rail to Lankaran station and further to the existing railway line. The gravel is unloaded at the open warehouse in the port.

Gravel is stored in the warehouse until the ships are loaded. The gravel is loaded to ships and shipped to Baku. Let us suppose that the length of the waterway is 413 km. A gravel is emptied by floating crane to an open warehouse in Baku Alat Sea Port. The gravel is transported to the consumer's warehouse by dump trucks.

2. CHAPTER 1

The required logistic operations, tools and their parameters are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Required logistics operations, tools and their parameters

Operations	Tools	Parameters
Storage at the production site	Open storehouse	Capacity, t
Loading	Conveyor transporter	Loading capacity, t
Railway transportation	Flatcar	Productivity, t/hour
Unloading at the port's warehouse	Greifer harbor crane	Productivity, t/hour
Storage at the port's warehouse	Open warehouse	Capacity, t
Loading to ships	Greifer harbor crane	Productivity, t/hour
Railway transportation	Dry cargo ship	Loading capacity, t
Unloading	Greifer floating crane	Productivity, t/hour
Storage	Open warehouse	Capacity, t
Loading to dump truck	Excavator crane	Productivity, t/hour
Transportation by truck	Dump truck	Loading capacity, t

Thus, delivery of crushed stone consists of four operations: storage, loading, transportation and unloading {3}. The following equipment is used to carry out these operations: open warehouse, conveyor transporter, harbor crane, floating crane, excavator crane, flat railcar, dry cargo tanks, dump truck. The following optimization parameters could be taken: the capacity of the warehouse, the loading capacity of the vehicles (railcar, ship, truck, etc.), the productivity (or loading capacity) of lifting vehicles and so on.

3. CHAPTER 2

Basic methods of parameters' calculation. All economic costs or integrated costs can be taken as the key parameters of the logistics chain (load capacity Q , t, productivity P , t/hr, capacity E ,t) optimization criteria. Integral costs Z - both current costs E and unused capabilities of the specific capital. Integrated costs are as follows:

$$Z = E + k\rho/100 , \quad (1)$$

Where K - the equity used, man;
 ρ - average rate of return of capital, %.

Specific economic expenses of a single transport product Z can be found as follows:

$$Z = S + k\rho/100 , \quad (2)$$

where S is the cost of transport product, man / ton (man / tkm);
 K - special capital ratio of transport product falling on special capital, man / ton (man / tkm).

Let's formulate the equation of full economic costs for the logistics chain described above:

$$Z = Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4,$$

here, - full economic costs in the transportation, loading, unloading and storage operations, respectively.

It should be noted that the parameters of the automobile and railway transport technics are determined by the type of load. However, we have to look at the full economic costs of transporting cargoes on the railroad. In this case, we can define the integral costs of shipping a G ship with a ship:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= Z_{don} \cdot t_d + Z_{yük} \cdot t_{yük} + Z_{boş} \cdot t_{boş} + Z_{sax} \cdot t_{sax} \\ &= Z_q \cdot t_q + Z_d \cdot t_d + Z_i \cdot t_i + Z_{b.d.} \cdot t_{b.d.} + Z_{sax} \cdot t_{sax}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where - $Z_{don}, Z_{yük}, Z_{boş}, Z_{sax}$ economic costs, man/hour, in transportation, loading, unloading and storage accordingly;

Z_q, Z_{bd} - economic expenses, man / hour, during departure and leisure according to the fleet;

Z_i, Z_{bd} - economic expenses, man / hour, for keeping the mechanisms in operation and during idle time;

t_d, t_u, t_b, t_{sax} transportation, loading, unloading and storage period, hours;

t_i, t_{bd} - the time during which the mechanisms for the handling of a ship's (one train) burden are at work and vacancy.

We can write based on obvious dependencies without having detailed technical and technological operations:

$$\begin{aligned} t_q &= l / U, \\ t_i &= t_d = G / P, \\ t_{bd} &= 24G / G_{gün} - G / P, \\ t_{sax} &= 24G / G_{gün}. \end{aligned}$$

The latter seems to be that the logging operation, such as the load storage parameters, is tightly closed with the load parameter $Q = G / P$, ie the capacity of the warehouse is $E = f(Q)$ and can be removed from the range of the inputs. Then this equation looks as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= Z_q l / U + (Z_d + Z_i) \sum G / P + Z_d \sum \\ &(24G / G_{gün} - G / P) + Z_{sax} \cdot 24G / P \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here, l - length of sea transportation route, km;

U - speed of the vessel, km / h;

P - productivity of cargo works, t / h;

$G_{gün} = G_y / t_{i.d.}$ daily load input, t / hr; here $t_{id} - G_y$ is the business cycle of the fleet to deliver a thousand tons of cargo, hours.

For similar calculations, the speed of the ship (train) can be expressed by the following empirical dependence:

$$U = a \cdot Q^b \quad (5)$$

It is logical to assume that mechanization costs may be proportionate to the productivity of those vehicles,

$$Z_i = Z_i \cdot P, \quad Z_{bd} = Z_{bd} \cdot P,$$

Here, Z_i, Z_{bd} - are special costs for mechanization, man.

It is necessary to take into account the scale effect of the fleet maintenance costs (the lower the cost per ton, the greater the load capacity), ie:

$$Z = Z_0 \left(\frac{Q}{Q_0} \right)^d, \quad (6)$$

here Q_0, Z_0 - the ability to ship, tone, and a selected vessel (train) - current costs for maintaining the prototype, t / h.

Cost of storage can be found as follows:

$$Z_{sax} = Z_{sax} \cdot G_{sax}.$$

Let us assume that the maximum amount of cargo storage at the port of departure is $G_{max} = G$, at the destination port is $G_{max} = G_h (1 - t_e / T)$. In this case, the average storage capacity of ships can be found in the range of ships:

$$G_{sax} = G \left[1 - t_e / T - G_{gün} / (24P) \right] / 2.$$

Go to the definition of special expenses. (5) by dividing the equation on the ship (train) by the amount of load $G = \rho'Q$ and making certain transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= A / Q^{l+b+d} + BQ^d / P + CP + FQ / P + H, & (7) \\ F &= Z_{xo} l / (\rho' a Q_0); \\ B &= Z_{do} (1 + k) / Q_o^d; \\ C &= 24 \sum Z_{bd} / G_{gün}; \\ D &= 24 \rho' \left[Z_{sax(yük)} / 2 + Z_{sax(boş)} (1 - t_e / (2T)) \right] G_{gün}; \end{aligned}$$

$$F = Z_{sax(bos)} \rho' / 2$$

$$H = \sum (Z_i - Z_{bd})'$$

where K is a coefficient characterizing the ratio of productivity in load handling and loading, $K \leq 1$).

Thus, we have acquired a two-dimensional equation that can be easily solved by the numerical methods of optimization, for example, the Huk-Chiv method. Let's clarify the example we are looking at. The full cost of the ship's fare on departure is $Z_{do} = 310 \text{ man / ton}$, and when it stops is $Z_{do} = 150 \text{ man / ton}$. Special expenses in the discharge port are $Z_i = 1,5 \text{ man / ton}$, $Z_{bd} = 1 \text{ man / ton}$, in storage is $Z_{sax} = 1,0 \text{ man / ton}$. Take the shipping ratios $\rho' = 1; K = 0,8$. Note that the load is consumed within 5 months (summer-autumn), ie $T = 153$ days. It is required to substantiate the loading and unloading of mechanization facilities at the discharge point. On the basis of processing of numerous data on dry cargo ships and trains, we accept $a = 5$, downwards $a = 3$, and for trains 3 and 2, for downhill mileage. We must take into account that the speed of the load lane is 12% -20% during the free movement. We accept empirical coefficients $b = 0,2, d = 0,5$.

Then,

$$A = 310 \cdot 413 / 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 1500^{0,5} = 1102 ,$$

$$B = 150(1 + 0,8) / 1500^{0,5} = 7 ,$$

$$C = 24 \cdot 0,1 \cdot (1 - 100) / (2 \cdot 153) (100 / 50000) = 3,23 \cdot 10^{-3} ,$$

$$F = 0,1 \cdot 1 / 2 = 0,05 ,$$

$$H = 1,5 - 1 = 0,5 .$$

Numerically can be summarized as follows:

$$Z = 1102 / Q^{0,7} + 7 \cdot Q^{0,5} / \rho + 0,048 P + 3,23 \cdot 10^{-3} Q - 0,05 Q / P + 0,05;$$

After calculations we've got:

$$Q_{opt} = 1177t,$$

$$P_{opt} = 61t / saat$$

Special charges for the delivery of water by way of water will be 18mt / ton, taking into account the costs of mechanization and load maintenance at the discharge point. The equation can also be obtained sequentially with the help of individual derivatives, that is, the price of one of the variables. For this purpose, the productivity of mechanization at the level of the ship-hour norm is $P = B_{yik}$ and after a number of simplifications we will have an unbalanced equation:

$$Z = A / Q^{1+b+d} + BQ / B_{yik} / DQ - FQ / B + 6. \quad (8)$$

The optimum value of loading capacity Q can be easily reached by classic method as follows:

$$Q_{opt} = \left[(1+b+d) \cdot A \cdot B_{yik} / (dB) \right]^{1/(1+b)} .$$

After certain transformations we will get:

$$Q_{opt} = \left(K_3 \frac{Z_x B_{yük} l}{Z_{bd} a \cdot \rho'} \right)^{q/(l+b)}, \quad (9)$$

where K_3 is an empirical coefficient. For indirectly stored loads it is 0.2; $K_3 = 0.3$ for open loads.

The optimal loading capacity of sea transport for gravel transportation can be found as follows:

$$Q_{opt} = \left[(0,3 \cdot 310 \cdot 58 \cdot 413 / (150 \cdot 3 \cdot 1)) \right]^{1/1,2} = 1200t .$$

Find the characteristic of the ship to carry gravel from the book of inquiry. We choose a 942 project cargo carrier (barge or tanker etc.) with a load of 1000t.

We accept that the gravel emptying capacity is $1.6 m^3$ and 5t gravity floating cranes are used. In the loading and unloading work, productivity is defined in accordance with complex mechanization and time norms:

$$P_{kom} = (588 + 286) / 2 = 437 t / növb .$$

This figure corresponds to the accepted productivity (438t hours). Let us determine the number of floating cranes that make up the crushed wagons and ships with the daily input and the need to master the load:

$$G_{gün} = 50000 / 100 = 500 \frac{t}{gün}; \quad (10)$$

$$n = G_{gün} / \Pi_{gün}$$

here $\Pi_{gün} = K_{el} \cdot n_n \cdot P_{kom}$ – is the capacity of shipyard in the port, t / day;

n_n - number of turns;

$K_{el} = 0,6 \div 0,8$ is plus productivity, and is a factor that takes into account the impact of technical service on auxiliary mechanisms and devices.

$$\Pi_{gün} = 0,8 \cdot 3 \cdot 437 = 1049 t / gün$$

$$n = 500 / (0,8 \cdot 3 \cdot 437) = 500 / 1049 = 0,48$$

4. CONCLUSION

Thus, the calculation shows that a floating crane will be enough for the port. If $E_{yük} = G = 1000t$ is warehouse capacity in the port of loading, the necessary capacity of the warehouse in the port of emptying can be calculated as follows:

$$E_{boş} = G_H (1 - t_e / T) = 50.000 (1 - 100 / 153) = 17320t .$$

LITERATURE:

1. Уотерс Д. Логистика. Управление цепью поставок. Пер. с англ. М.: ЮНИ ТИ-ДАНА, 2013, 503с.
2. Норенков И.П. Разработка систем автоматизированного проектирования: учебник для вузов. М.: Изд-во МГТУ им.Н.Э.Баумана, 2014, 207 с.

3. Langen P. "Governance in Seaport Clusters", *Maritime Economics Logistics* 6, 2004, p. 141-156



كلية العلوم القانونية والاقتصادية والاجتماعية - سلا
+KOLLJ+I+L+O+M+I+K+O+K+O+L+J+I+K+A+H+AC+O+J+I+A+O+L+J+I+K+I+O+M+I+
Faculté des sciences juridiques
économiques et sociales-salé