Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency

in cooperation with

Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC)
University North

Faculty of Management University of Warsaw

Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat



Economic and Social Development

37th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development –
"Socio Economic Problems of Sustainable Development"

Book of Proceedings

Editors:

Muslim Ibrahimov, Ana Aleksic, Darko Dukic











Baku, 14-15 February 2019

Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency in cooperation with

Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC) University North

Faculty of Management University of Warsaw
Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat

Editors:
Muslim Ibrahimov, Ana Aleksic, Darko Dukic

Economic and Social Development

37th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development –
"Socio Economic Problems of Sustainable Development"

Book of Proceedings

Baku, 14-15 February 2019

Title ■ Economic and Social Development (Book of Proceedings), 37th International Scientific Conference on Economic and Social Development - "Socio Economic Problems of Sustainable Development"

Editors ■ Muslim Ibrahimov, Ana Aleksic, Darko Dukic

Scientific Committee / Programski Odbor
Marijan Cingula, University of Zagreb, Croatia (President); Adalat Muradov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan (Co-President); Mahish Ahmadov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Ali Alirzayev, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Umudvar Aliyev, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Sandra Raquel Alves - University of Aveiro, Portugal; Ayuba A. Aminu, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria; Anona Armstrong, Victoria University, Australia; Gouri Sankar Bandyopadhyay, The University of Burdwan, Rajbati Bardhaman, India; Haimanti Banerji, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India; Elisabeth de Jesus Oliveira Brito - University of Aveiro, Portugal; Alla Bobyleva, The Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia; Leonid K. Bobrov, State University of Economics and Management, Novosibirsk, Russia; Rado Bohinc, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia, Zeki Atil Bulut, Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey; Adnan Celik, Selcuk University - Konya, Turkey; Angelo Maia Cister, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brasil; Mirela Cristea, University of Craiova, Romania; Sreten Cuzovic, University of Nis, Serbia; Oguz Demir, Istanbul Commerce University, Turkey; T.S. Devaraia, University of Mysore, India; Onur Dogan, Dokuz Eylul University, Turkey; Darko Dukic, University of Osijek, Croatia; Gordana Dukic, University of Osijek, Croatia; Claudia Miranda Veloso - University of Aveiro, Portugal; Alba Dumi, Vlora University, Vlore, Albania; Ksenija Dumicic, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Galina Pavlovna Gagarinskaya, Samara State University, Russia; Fran Galetic, Zagreb University, Croatia; Mirjana Gligoric, Faculty of Economics, Belgrade University, Serbia; Mehmet Emre Gorgulu, Afyon Kocatepe University, Turkey; Aleksandra Grobelna, Gdynia Maritime University, Poland; Liudmila Guzikova, Peter the Great Saint-Petersburg Polytechnic University, Russia; Yadulla Hasanli, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Anica Hunjet, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Muslum Ibrahimov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Altay Ismayilov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Oxana Ivanova, Ulyanovsk State University, Ulyanovsk, Russia; Irena Jankovic, Faculty of Economics, Belgrade University, Serbia; Lara Jelenc, University of Rijeka, Croatia; Myrl Jones, Radford University, USA; Gorazd Justinek, Graduate School of Government and European Studies, Slovenia; Hacer Simay Karaalp, Pamukkale University, Turkey; Grzegorz Karasiewicz, University of Warsaw, Poland; Irshad Karimli, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Dafna Kariv, The College of Management Academic Studies, Rishon Le Zion, Israel; Salih Katircioglu, Eastern Mediterranean University, Northern Cyprus, Turkey; Hilal Yildirir Keser, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey; Martina Dragija Kostic; Sophia Khalimova, Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science, Novosibirsk, Russia; Marina Klacmer Calopa, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Vladimir Kovsca, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Goran Kozina, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Dzenan Kulovic, University of Zenica, Bosnia and Herzegovina; Robert Lewis, Les Roches Gruyère University of Applied Sciences, Bulle, Switzerland; Ladislav Lukas, Univ. of West Bohemia, Faculty of Economics, Czech Republic; Zahid Mammadov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Gabil Manafov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Pascal Marty, University of La Rochelle, France; Vaidotas Matutis, Vilnius University, Lithuania; Marjana Merkac Skok, GEA College of Entrepreneurship, Ljubljana, Slovenija; Daniel Francois Meyer, North West University, South Africa; Marin Milkovic, Rector, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Zlatko Nedelko, University of Maribor, Slovenia; Gratiela Georgiana Noja, West University of Timisoara, Romania; Zsuzsanna Novak, Corvinus University of Budapest, Hungary; Alojzy Z. Nowak, University of Warsaw, Poland; Tomasz Ochinowski, University of Warsaw, Poland; Mislav Ante Omazic, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Vera Palea, Universita degli Studi di Torino, Italy; Dusko Pavlovic, Libertas International University, Zagreb, Croatia; Igor Pihir - University of Zagreb, Croatia; Dinko Primorac, University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Zeljka Primorac, University of Split, Croatia; Anar Rzayev, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Miroslaw Przygoda, University of Warsaw, Poland; Karlis Purmalis, University of Latvia, Latvia; Nicholas Recker, Metropolitan State University of Denver, USA; Kerry Redican, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, USA; Humberto Ribeiro, University of Aveiro, Portugal; Robert Rybnicek, University of Graz, Austria; Kamil Shahbazov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Joanna Stawska, University of Lodz, Poland; Elzbieta Szymanska, Bialystok University of Technology, Poland; Katarzyna Szymanska, The State Higher School of Vocational Education in Ciechanow, Poland; Jan Turyna, University of Warsaw, Poland; Ilaria Tutore, University of Naples Parthenope, Italy; Rebeka Danijela Vlahov, University of Zagreb; Ilko Vrankic, University of Zagreb, Croatia; Stanislaw Walukiewicz, Bialystok University of Technology, Poland; Thomas Will, Agnes Scott College, USA; Sakit Yagubov, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan; Li Yongqiang, Victoria University, Australia; Peter Zabielskis, University of Macau, China; Tao Zeng, Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Canada; Grzegorz Zimon, Rzeszow University of Technology, Poland; Snezana Zivkovic, University of Nis, Serbia.

Review Committee / Recenzentski Odbor ■ Marina Klacmer Calopa (President); Ana Aleksic; Sandra Raquel Alves; Ayuba Aminu; Mihovil Andjelinovic; Josip Arneric; Lidija Bagaric; Tomislav Bakovic; Sanja Blazevic; Leonid Bobrov; Ruzica Brecic; Anita Ceh Casni; Iryna Chernysh; Mirela Cristea; Oguz Demir; Jasmina Dvorski; Stjepan Dvorski; Robert Fabac; Ivica Filipovic; Sinisa Franjic; Fran Galetic; Mirjana Gligoric; Tomislav Globan; Anita Goltnik Umaut; Tomislav Herceg; Muslum Ibrahimov; Irena Jankovic; Emina Jerkovic; Dafina Kariv; Oliver Kesar; Hilal Yildirir Keser; Tatjana Kovac; Vladimir Kovsca; Angelo Maia Cister; Katarina Marosevic; Vaidotas Matutis; Marjana Merkac Skok; Josip Mikulic; Ljubica Milanovic Glavan; Daniel Francois Meyer; Natanya Meyer; Guenter Mueller; Ivana Nacinovic Braje; Zlatko Nedelko; Gratiela Georgiana Noja; Zsuzsanna Novak; Alka Obadic; Claudia Ogrean; Igor Pihir; Najla Podrug; Vojko Potocan; Dinko Primorac; Zeljka Primorac; Sanda Renko; Humberto Ribeiro; Vlasta Roska; Souhaila Said; Armando Javier Sanchez Diaz; Tomislav Sekur; Lorena Skuflic; Mirko Smoljic; Petar Soric; Mario Spremic; Matjaz Stor; Tomasz Studzieniecki; Lejla Tijanic; Daniel Tomic; Boris Tusek; Rebeka Daniela Vlahov; Ilko Vrankic; Thomas Will; Zoran Wittine; Tao Zeng; Grzegorz Zimon; Snezana Zivkovic; Berislav Zmuk.

Organizing Committee / Organizacijski Odbor
Adalat Muradov (President); Domagoj Cingula (Co-President); Sannur Aliyev; Shafa Alizade; Marina Klacmer Calopa; Asiman Guliyev; Ilham Huseynov; Altay Ismayilov; Irshad Karimli; Spomenko Kesina; Erlino Koscak; Zahid Mammadov; Miroslaw Przygoda; Anar Rzayev; Michael Stefulj; Rebeka Danijela Vlahov; Sime Vucetic; Mikayil Zeynalov; Sakit Yagubov.

Publishing Editor ■ Domagoj Cingula

Publisher ■ Design ■ Print ■ Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency, Varazdin, Croatia / Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Baku, Azerbaijan / University North, Koprivnica, Croatia / Faculty of Management University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland / Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco

Printing ■ Online Edition

ISSN 1849-7535

The Book is open access and double-blind peer reviewed.

Our past Books are indexed and abstracted by ProQuest, EconBIZ, CPCI (WoS) and EconLit databases and available for download in a PDF format from the Economic and Social Development Conference website: http://www.esd-conference.com

© 2019 Varazdin Development and Entrepreneurship Agency, Varazdin, Croatia; Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Baku, Azerbaijan; University North, Koprivnica, Croatia; Faculty of Management University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; Faculty of Law, Economics and Social Sciences Sale - Mohammed V University in Rabat, Morocco. All rights reserved. Authors are responsible for the linguistic and technical accuracy of their contributions. Authors keep their copyrights for further publishing.

CONTENTS

ACADEMIC ENTREPRENEURSHIP AS AN IMPORTANT FACTOR OF SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
SUSTAINABLE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND AZERBAIJAN
ISSUES CONCERNING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INNOVATIVE DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY IN THE PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM 16 Naila Akhundova Fariz
THE NECESSITY OF THE ORGANIZATION OF INNOVATIVE PRODUCTION IN THE REGIONS
APPLICATION OF THE HIERARCHY ANALYSIS METHOD TO ASSESS THE PRIORITIES OF BUSINESS PROCESSES IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTION
Yagubov Sakit Mamadi Oglu, Aliyev Ali Imash Oglu
ESTIMATION OF INNOVATION ACTIVITY OF THE REGIONS OF THE AZERBAIJAN REPUBLIC 41 Arzu Huseynova, Tarana Salifova, Ophelya Mazanova
STATISTICAL ASPECTS OF TRADE RELATIONS BETWEEN AZERBAIJAN AND GEORGIA
THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL ASPECTS OF THE PARTICIPATION OF CIVIL SERVANTS IN DECISION-MAKING AFFECTING BUSINESS ACTIVITIES 57 Afag Huseyn
CAPITAL MARKETS UNION AND EUROPEAN COVERED BONDS INITIATIVE FOR UPGRADING CAPITAL MARKETS IN THE NEW MEMBER STATES 67 Ivana Bajakic
THE CURSE OF TRANSFERS? MODELLING FISCAL POLICY EFFECTIVENESS IN AZERBAIJAN
A GLANCE THROUGH TWO DECADES OF SLOVENE-RELATED RESEARCH IN AUDITING
DO RESEARCH AND INNOVATION STIMULI IMPROVE THE COMPETITIVENESS OF PRIVATE FIRMS? EVIDENCE FROM THE SLOVAK REPUBLIC

HOW DOES LABOR MIGRATION AFFECT THE ECONOMIES OF RECIPIENT COUNTRIES?
Terane Shirvanova
THE (FOREST) WASTE AS SOURCE OF NEW COMPANIES AND JOB CREATION1355
Amadeu Duarte Da Silva Borges, Bruna Soares, Carla Mascarenhas, Anderson Galvao
THE IMPORTANCE OF RESOURCES IN THE INTERNATIONALIZATION STRATEGIES OF CROATIAN FIRMS
TRANSFORMATION OF THE WORLD MONETARY SYSTEM FROM THE GOLD STANDARD TO THE CRYPTOCURRENCY?
DEVELOPMENT AND INTERNATIONALIZATION OF SMES IN POST-SOVIET COUNTRIES: SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE PERSPECTIVES
USE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS TO SUPPORT FOREST ECOSYSTEM SERVICES
Blanka Giertliova, Daniel Halaj, Zuzana Dobsinska
A CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR SMART CITY EVALUATION: ATTRIBUTES AND RULES
Peter Farago
THE NEW METHODS OF HUMAN RESOURCES IN AZERBAIJAN CASE 1417 Abdulova Aygun Agasadiq, Hamid Hamidov Insaf
MAIN CHALLENGES OF TOURISM DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT IN GEORGIA
IDENTIFYING THE RELATIVE INFLUENCE OF THE PRODUCT-RELATED ATTRIBUTES ON CONSUMER'S CHOICE
THE ELEMENTS OF THE MECHANISM OF BUDGETARY REGULATION AND STRATEGY OF BUDGET POLICY MANAGEMENT
UNIVERSITY BRANDING IN AZERBAIJAN: EMPIRICAL STUDY OF STUDENTS? CHOICES FOR EDUCATION
COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE CURRENT SITUATION AND DEVELOPMENT PROSPECTS OF THE FORMER SOVIET UNION REPUBLICS IN THE SOCIAL BUSINESS

THE ELEMENTS OF THE MECHANISM OF BUDGETARY REGULATION AND STRATEGY OF BUDGET POLICY MANAGEMENT

Tunzale Gurbanova

Docent, Associate Professor at Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Azerbaijan Department of Finance and Financial Institutions Tunzala Gurbanova@unec.edu.az

ABSTRACT

Purpose of the study - The study of the budget mechanism and its elements, the study of methods for the formation and expenditure of financial resources at all the levels of the budget system.

Methodology - Comparison, synthesis and evaluation.

Results of the study - One of the most important tasks of reforming of the budgetary system is the creation of an effective budgetary regulation mechanism at all its levels, taking into account the nature and features of intergovernmental fiscal interactions. The peculiarity of the processes of reforming of the state bodies and the system of relations in the financial and budgetary sphere predetermines the need for rational distribution of revenue powers between the levels of the budget system, filling the revenue sources of the budgets, whose main share is tax revenues, and attracting non-tax revenues to the budgets.

Keywords: budgeting, budgetary policy, budget revenues and expenditures, state control

1. INTRODUCTION

The Paper discusses the development of national economics and the mechanisms of its effective regulation by the state. Particular attention is paid to the study of the role of budgetary regulation of macroeconomic processes. The Paper also notes that effective budgetary regulation, in general, the most priority issue in the system of economic management mechanism of the economy. The normal development of the national economy is largely depends on orderly regulation by the state. So, even at the maximum level, the liberalization of the economy does not deny the participation of the state in the management of economic processes. The fact that each state has its own interests and priorities makes it necessary for the state to intervene in all areas of public life. But even this intervention itself must have certain limits. If the economy is too monopolized by the state, then the sense of responsibility of both individuals and economic entities decreases, and they would have no interest in achieving better results, since, the state is not able to evaluate everyone's work equally honestly and fairly. The system of state regulation of the national economy should, in general, determine the level of state influence on economic life. Without the presence of such a regulatory system, it is impossible to effectively coordinate activities for the formation of market relations.

2. PROBLEMS OF STATE REGULATION OF THE NATIONAL ECONOMY WITH THE HELP OF THE STATE BUDGET

With the formation of the economy of the republic in accordance with the principles of a market economy, the development of a diverse economy in our society, the creation on an equal basis of conditions for the activities of various forms of ownership, the privatization process has accelerated. In order to increase the effectiveness of the measures taken in connection with the development of the republic's economy, the state pays special attention to the regulation of economic development and its main component.

In the transition to a market economy, the role of the state in the economic revival of the republic, in establishing international relations, in the total volume of imports and exports and in the development of free enterprise is undeniable. In the modern world, in the conditions of the market orientation of the economy of the republic, a fundamental change of the management mechanism is needed. The transition to a market economy with a mixed economy transforms the state regulation of the economy into a specific management tool. In our conditions, i.e., in the period of formation of market relations on the part of the state management bodies with the help of programming, mechanisms of influence on the development of the national economy should be used in fullest extent. Budget regulation, in general, the most priority issue in the system of economic management mechanism of the economy. As we know, the budget system, being an important management mechanism in a market economy, is formed on the basis of state principles. Budget regulation in general is used to organize the activities of the economic system, to stimulate production and to improve the principles of separation. The scientific substantiation of its limits, analysis and management of factors and outcomes should be based on a number of methodological and practical features. Budgetary regulation has features inherent in each country. In a market economy, the tasks of managing the state budget, revenues and expenditures became even more complicated, and as a result, they faced serious social and economic problems. And therefore, the strategy of managing income and expenses should be worked out and evaluated from the prism of the most important economic and production problems. It is this approach that will enable the creation of a clearer picture of the complex nature and content of the budgets and budgets of the state budget, and, as a whole, the state budget. The budget system is being formed in accordance with the economic system and the political organization of each country. In general, there are "traditional", "performed" (or "executable") and "programmatic" budgetary systems. Traditional budgetary systems mainly provide a control over the implementation of the costs stipulated by law. In other words, the control function plays a leading role in this system. Support for economic activity is one of the current issues in the transition period. And, from this point of view, it is advisable to study the "performed" budget systems, which is also called an "executed" budget system. This budget system is made up as a working program. The executable budget systems have two elements: the classification per services; service-financial connection. The "programmatic", or "planningprogram" budget system, unlike the earlier marked budget systems, additionally provides the use of the budget as a strategic program. Here, it is possible to analyze alternative service programs and select the best from a macroeconomic point of view. The basis of this budget system is a system analysis. In other words, if the performance of services is known to achieve any specific goals in the public sector, if attention is given to alternative approaches helping to achieve the goals, if the goals are long-term and if the performance of existing service programs is adequately evaluated, decisions related to the distribution of sources of funds will be more optimal. In general, the budget system expresses the combination of all types of budgets united in the budget of each country. The creation of a budgetary system and the organization of interconnections between its various links express budget construction. Budget construction reflects the mechanism by which the budget system is built. With traditional fiscal policy, it is very important to keep the state budget balance constantly. If the state wants to influence national income, then it must bring the supply and demand for labor to the level that can change the cumulative production. Due to the fact that it is impossible to achieve technical progress that affects the growth of cumulative production, population growth through fiscal policy, fulfilling traditional tasks and ensuring that taxes do not affect the level in economic activity financing of these services, a small but balanced fiscal policy is consistent with the requirements of traditional fiscal policy and theory. Issues of improving budget planning should be implemented in unity with issues of the public administration. The basic condition for the successful implementation of the program-target approach is the implementation of financial

discipline in the implementation of adopted budgets. The main problem for the successful application for result-based budgeting is the difficulty of determining the socio-economic results of the activities of state enterprises, as well as a lack of unified system of goals and indicators, imperfection of the accounting system and of information national security. As it is known, fiscal policy is a regulatory system associated with government spending and taxes. State expenditures refer to expenditures for the maintenance of the institute of statehood, as well as for the purchase of certain goods and services by the state. It can be different types of contracts, for example, the construction of schools, health facilities and cultural facilities, and the purchase of imported goods, military equipment at the expense of the state budget. The main distinguishing feature of all these orders is that the state itself is their consumer. Usually, government contracts are made up of two kinds: less or more stable. Government spending plays an important role in the socio-economic development of society. Therefore, the issues of increasing the efficiency of government spending, their regulatory role in ensuring socioeconomic development, the formation of a new quality of economic growth is very relevant/important. Much has been said about the need for systemic changes in the budget process. But, due to various reasons, this problem still remains unsolved. At the same time, it can be said that certain steps have been taken to revise the restructuring of the public sector. The essence of the new approach to the budget process is simple and clear: the costs are not for the sake of costs, but the costs for the sake of achieving concrete results. To achieve this goal, we consider it necessary to solve the following tasks:

- Optimization of the network of budgetary organizations. This is the restructuring and introduction of new forms of financing of budgetary organizations.
- The solution of this task is certainly more complicated and requires the implementation of certain innovations in the current budget legislation. The Ministry of Finance should systematically address this issue;
- Development and use of results-based financing methods.

The decline in GDP in the economies of the newly independent states, the spread of the process of national reproduction, the breakdown of ties between production and financial systems, the deterioration of the socio-economic position of the population is due to these reasons (1). Sometimes, the regulatory role of the state in the economy is associated with its role in production and the level of the share of state ownership. Such an assessment in terms of the role of the state in the monetary system and the effective use of public finances is wrong. This is because the role of the state in the economy is not adequate to the level of state ownership over the means of production. Here, the main thing is the level of national income in the form of public finance. The analysis shows that, in the developed countries of the world, the share of government growth in GDP does not decrease, but develops at an increasing rate. This figure is 30% in the USA, 60% in Denmark, 54.6% in France, 53.7% in Belgium. In a developing market economy, there is no alternative to increasing government spending in GDP and saving strategies. A policy of reducing this government spending itself will result in general reduction of all expenditures, including vital items of expenditure. It is clear that a decrease in capital investments in high-tech projects from the state, budget allocations for the maintenance of the infrastructure providing basic funds will lead to deterioration in the prospects for economic development. At the same time, the decrease in the state sector engaged employees' real wages will cause a decline in labor productivity of those working in this sector and the emergence of social conflicts. It is necessary to take into account that it is difficult to estimate and measure the majority of budget expenditures at market rates. Produced products cannot be quickly realized (sold). In general, non-production state expenditures can be costly for a country's economy. In the modern conditions, new elements are constantly being added to the state financial mechanism that requires the appropriate settlement and control tools.

At this stage, state finances must again be transformed from the category of distribution into the category of reproduction. Government finances do not simply fulfill the function of government provision. It is also an effective element of reproduction processes (4). Integration to the global financial market requires the development of the mechanism of adaptation and of mechanisms for the protection of national interests in the field of state finances. Long-term definition of the concept of a budget strategy is a very difficult issue. In the world practice, there are no long-term financial forecasts. There are a number of objective reasons related to the nature of financial and budgetary processes.

3. METHODOLOGICAL AND PRACTICAL FEATURES OF THE BUDGETARY REGULATION

Economic theory's history knows no purely financial patterns/laws that would allow predicting the financial and economic situation for a quarter of a century. But this does not mean that there is no scientific basis and no impartial need for long-term forecasting. The forecasts of the budget sphere are essentially based on the connection of the budget strategy with the laws of general reproduction and economic growth. The dependence of the dynamics of budget revenues on the overall dynamics of GDP and on business activity reflects the determination of the sphere to common economic laws, which are the first methodological principle. As noted, there is an objective relationship between the level of GDP that reflects the scale of the reproduction process and the amount of financial resources of the state that serves this process. The second methodological principle is the dependence of economic dynamic on the typology of budget strategy and on real budget proportions. This principle is essentially crucial for long-term budget forecasting. The budget strategy should not only passively reflect market processes, but, on the contrary, have to form common economic limits. The third methodological principle is forecasting on the basis of the internal intensity of budgetary relations and the internal factors of the budgetary tax system of budgetary dynamics. This means that the projected budget-tax potential and proportions depend on the use of the internal resources of the existing budget system. The fourth methodological principle is a socio-political accounting of forecasting. In our opinion, the basis of the financial system and the restructuring of the budgetary regulation should constitute the following principles:

- Creating a stable system of financial relations;
- Restructuring should be holistic/complex character and should ensure close links between all elements (financial infrastructure, government finances, insurance organizations, pension funds and other financial institutions) of the financial system:
- Limited resources makes it necessary to use the principle of centralization of financial resources;
- The restructuring of the financial system should mainly be carried out at the expense of internal sources. Foreign loans and investments should play a supporting role.

In our opinion, in the condition of integration, a systemic update of the tax mechanism for improving budgetary relations is one of the factors creating conditions for economic growth. For these purposes, it is advisable to take the following measures:

- The expansion of the tax base by coverage of the "shadow" sector ("shadow economy") of the economy;
- Reducing the tax burden for the real sector of the economy;
- Standardization of tax legislation and tax reports;
- Gradual decrease and differentiation of rates for profits and for VAT;
- Differentiation of income tax for individual sectors of the economy.

4. CONCLUSION

The implementation of the correct fiscal policy can lead to an increase in budget revenues, and a decrease in budget expenditures. To achieve a positive balance (surplus), a number of reforms and programs should be prepared within the framework of the budgetary system. Macroeconomic indicators, directing fiscal policy, reflects the achievement of faster economic growth, the identification of additional sources of budget revenues, an increase in direct participation and influence of the budget, increased financial discipline and transparency, reduced poverty, improved application targeted social assistance and the creation of financial conditions for the development of entrepreneurship and regions. One of the main goals in the budget policy is improving the well-being of the population, increasing incomes and the level of employment, turning the state budget into the main financial source as well as into an economic tool for managing the economy and stimulate its dynamic development (2). One of the primary steps taken to increase the volume of the budget and in general to improve the budget process is to increase control over budget expenditures. Because the lack of proper control over budget expenditures can lead to the growth of corruption opportunities, the legalization of a large amount of illegal incomes, and even to more monopolization. As a result, the state budget revenues will decrease, and in general the economic entity called the budget process will begin to bear a formal character. Thus, in order to achieve progressive movements in the development of economy, the development of effective mechanisms for the implementation of these tasks in the field of fiscal management is of strategic importance. Conducting this control will lead to the concentration of state revenues in the state budget, and this in turn will lead to a reduction in poverty, the continuation of structural reforms in the economy, an increase in budget revenues, ensuring social and investment orientation of budget expenditures, transparency and effective management of expenditures. And in the future, conducting a successful budget/fiscal policy will ultimately have a positive effect on the development of the state from an economic point of view.

LITERATURE:

- 1. Gorodetskiy D. 2003. Concept of budget balancing. Moscow. Finances.
- 2. Ozerov I. 2008. Fundamentals of Financial Sciences: Budget. Form of embezzlement. Local Finance. State credit. Moscow. Finance.
- 3. Ponomarenko E. 2013.. Economics and finances of the public sector: Moscow. Textbook
- 4. Zakharchenkov S. 2008/ Finance. 2nd edition, Revision and Additions. Kiev. X Factor.









